(50) (193) (40) (Way-4-ANOVA)  $(0.05 = \alpha)$ -1  $(0.05 = \alpha)$ -2 .1 ) .(1997

.(1996 ) .(1996 )

2007/5/27 . \*

.2008/1/8

. / 2009 © - 144 -

.(1998 .(1997 ) .(Ruget, 2006) ) .(1995 .(1996 ) .2 -1 .(2004 ) .(1995 ) -2 -3 ) (200 ) .(1995 - 145 -

...

```
.(
                         )
              )
                                  .(
               .(
                          )
                                                                                        :
                                              - 1
                                              -2
                                              -3
                                     .3
                               . (Parke, 1989)
                                                                   5
                                                                            )
                                                       -5
                                                                               .(
                                                                                      10
                                                                                                 10
```

- 146 -

```
.(1995
                                                        1968
                           )
                                   (NCSS,1994,p8)
                                                                                            .(1983
                                                                                     .(1997
                                                                                                    )
         )
                                           .(1983
                                                        (Hewittm, 1978,
                                                                                                     p109)
                                                                                    (1980 Elam)
                         .(Welton and Mallan, 1999)
                                                                               " (1983:6)
                   (Arizona, 1989)
                                                               )
                                                                                                    .(2000
(Otsu,
                                            .2001)
               )
                                           .(1995
                                                                                  .(Yalizhao and Hoge, 2005)
                )
```

....

.(2003 ) (Welton and Mallan, (2006:32) 1999) (2002:54) (Ruget, 2006) 1994 (2 2005)(Kalstounis, 1994) .(1994 (Oliver and Heater, 1994) (Al Jarah, 2002) .(2003 (Kabiru,1995) ) (62)( ) (21) .(Ruget, 2006) Mellor and Kennedy (2003) (Dunkin, 1998) (4)

(Chung, 2001) ( ) (2000) ) (15) ( (30) (4) (4) (15) (Otsu, 2001) .( ) ( ) (2000) (36) (59) (18) (124) (29) (95) (9) (64)

(Mikkelsen, 2001)

(2003)

(57)

(200) (Excel) (33) (3200)

(4)

(121)

(1)

91	27	64		
102	46	56		
143	53	90		
50	20	30		
39	14	25	5-1	
73	30	43	10- 6	
81	29	52	10	
85	36	49		
62	21	41		
46	16	30		

(31) (19)

(2) (%26)

.4

(193) (1)

.(NCSS,1997,1998) (2005

(2)

24	7	17		
26	12	14		
37	14	23		
13	5	8		
10	3	7	5-1	
19	8	11	10-6	
21	8	13	10	
22	9	13		
17	6	11		
11	4	7		

)

(18)

(22) . (3)

:

: (4)

.( )

.5

-3 ) (4-3.5 ) (2.49-2 ) (2.99-2.5 ) (3.49 (2 )

(3.50)

(14) (3.49-3)

. . .

## (3)

	-1
	-2
)	-3
.(	-4
.(	·
. ( . )	-5
.(	-6
.(	-7
.()	-8
•	-9
	-10
	-11
	-12
·	-13
	-14
	-15
.(	1.6
)	-16
.(	-17
· .	-18
	10
	-19
,	-20
.(	
	-21
	-22
	-23
	-23 -24
	-25
	-26
)	-27
(	
	-28
	-29
,	-30
	-31 -32
	-32
	-33

		-34
		-35
	•	-36
	•	-37
		-38
(	)	-39
	•	
.(	)	-40

(4)

.6468	3.5000		1
.611:	3.4400		2
.5714	3.4000		3
.5253	3.3600	,	4
.6074	3.2800		5
.6158	3.2200	·	6
.648	3.2200	·	7
.4219	3.1600		8
.7890	3.1000		9
.7890	3.1000	)	10
		.(	
.4932	3.0400		11
.7548	3.0400		12
.8562	3.0400		13
.7825	3.0000		14
.9258	3.0000		15
.5284	2.9200		16
.7783	3 2.9200	)	17
		.(	
.6713	3 2.7200		18
.7010	2.7200		19
.7309	2.5800	)	20
		.(	
.6749	2.5600	)	21
		,	
		.(	
.614	2.5200	·	22
		.(	
.788	2.5200	)	23
		.(	
			·

.6728	2.4200		24
.7530	2.3800	( )	25
.7890	2.3000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26
.9322	2.2200		27
09538	2,2200		28
.7475	2.1800	)	29
		.(	
.8809	2.1400	.)	30
1.0029	1.8800		31
.5803	1.7000		32
		.(	
.8781	1.6200	,	33
.8134	1.5400		34
.9510	1.4400	( . )	35
.9570	1.3200	·	36
1.0351	1.3000		37
.7890	1.1000	)	38
		. (	
.8391	1.1000	)	39
		.(	
 1.0577	1.0600		40

(7)

(8) 4-Way ANOVA (5)

(5)

	1		
3.32	51.79		
4.09	52.57		
3.72	52.20		
4.96	53.20	5-1	
3.46	51.73	10-6	
3.38	52.14	10	
3.72	52.20		
3.94	52.27		
3.16	52.00		
3.72	52.20		
3.24	51.68		
4.58	52.93		
3.43	52.16		
3.72	52.20		

(6)

4-Way ANOVA

0.519	0.427	6.040	1	6.040	
0.709	0.349	4.933	2	9.866	
0.361	0.865	12.232	1	12.232	
0.445	0.835	11.804	2	23.609	
		14.135	14	367.500	
			49	419.247	

 $(0.05 = \alpha)$ 

 $.(0.05 = \alpha) \qquad . \tag{9}$ 

:

(9)

...

(7)

4.66	67.25		
6.00	68.23		
5.37	67.76		
6.54	68.00	5-1	
5.00	66.42	10-6	
5.08	68.85	10	
5.37	67.76		
5.60	67.94		
4.79	67.23		
5.37	67.76		
4.54	66.00		
5.23	70.62		
5.74	67.16	-	
5.37	67.76		

(8)

4-Way ANOVA

			1110 111		
0.033	5.057	121.363	1	121.363	
0.202	1.704	40.888	2	81.776	
0.712	0.139	3.339	1	3.339	
0.305	1.243	29.822	2	59.643	
		24.000	14	624.000	
			49	890.121	

(40)

.

:

; ;

. (18)

(15) (10) (15) . (22) .

(9)

3.30	45.08		
2.74	44.53		
3.01	44.80		
3.05	45.30	5-1	
2.85	45.47	10-6	
3.05	43.95	10	
3.01	44.80		
3.05	44.75		
2.98	44.92		
3.01	44.80		
2.89	44.54		
3.26	45.00		
3.10	45.00		
3.01	44.80		

(10)

4-Way-ANOVA

0.136	2.372	18.953	1	18.953	
0.475	0.765	6.115	2	12.229	
0.449	0.590	4.715	1	4.715	
0.878	0.130	1.042	2	2.084	
		7.990	14	207.750	
			49	245.731	

•

(

 $(0.05 = \alpha)$ 

...

```
(
        (1986)
                                                     (1986)
          ) (2000
                       ) (1997)
                                         (1994)
                                                                                      (1994)
                                         .(2003
                                                          ) (2000
                                                                      ) (1997)
                                                                                         (2003
                            .6
                                                                (0.05 = \alpha)
                                                                              )
                                                               .(2003
                                                                                  )
                                                     (0.05 = \alpha)
                  1983
                                                                                1996
                         1996
(8)
                                                                    1995
                                 .488-450
                                                                             (2)
            2003
                                                             2003
                   1995
                                                                       .237-143
                                                                                     (3)
                                                                          1983
```

- 158 -

2000			
(8) .74-51 (16)	1997		
( ) 1994	1777		
( )	1997	·	
2004	1,7,7		
. :			
AL Jarrah, Abdallah. 2002. Democracy in the Jordanian Social Studies Curricula.pho thesis, Huddersfied University, Uk.	(6)	.120-81 2006	(11)
Arizona, department of education. 1989. Arizona social studies essential skills, C.	:	2005	
Chung, Hye Young. 2001. Comparing Studies Planning Processes of Expensed Teachers and Studies Teachers, <i>Dissertation Abstracts International</i> ,	·	2003	
AAC3018066.		1997	
Dunkin, M.Welch, A.Merritt, A.Phillips, R and Craven, R. 1998. The Chers Explanations of Classroom	(3)		(1.2)
Events: Knowledge and Beliefs About Teaching Civic and Citizenship, <i>Teaching and Teacher Education</i> , Vol. (14), No.2, 141-151.	2002	.127-85 :	(13)
Elam, Stanley. 1980. Performance-Pasted Teacher		2002	
Education: what is the stat of the Art? (was hingtan: AACTE).P.5.		: 200	02
Hewitt, W. 1978. Thomas Competency Referenced Professional Developments in Felder Dell, (Editor), Competency-Based National Council for the Social Studies Washington.	1996	:	
Kabiru, Farauk Mohammed. 1995. Citizenship Education in Nigerian Junior secondary schools. <i>Dissertation Abstract International</i> No.AAC.9106534.	-419 (8)	2000	.447
Kalstounis, Theodor. 1994. Democracy Challenge as the Foandation for Social Studies. <i>Theory and Research in Social Education</i> , Vol. (22), part2, 193.			
Mellor, Suzanne and Kennedy, Kerry. 2003. Australian			:
Students, democratic values and attitudes towards participation:indicators from the IEA civic education study. <i>Education Research</i> , Vol. (39), 525-537.	) (	2000	
Mikkelsen, R. and Fjedtadm, D. 2003. Article in Press, I E A, Education Study Torney-Purta, <i>International</i>	.12311 (4)	(3) 1983	
Journal of Educational Research, Vol. (35), 29-44.  National Council for the Social Studies. 1994. expect excellence: carriculum standards for social studies. Execative Sammary. Washing ton, D.C.	:	1998	:

. . .

- France and in America: Comparative Perspectives, *The Social Science Journal*.
- Welton, David A. and Mallan, John, T. Children and their World: Strategies for Teaching Social Studies. 1999. Edition. Houghtion Mifflin Company, Boston, New York, U.S.A.
- Yalizhao, John, 2005. What elementary students and teachers say about The Social Studies spet/oct, Vol 5, No 2, 216-221.
- Oliver, D., Heater, D. 1994. The Foundation of Citizenship, first published, New York London Toronto Sydney Tokyo Singapore.
- Otsu, Kazuko. 1989. Civic education in transition:the case of Japan, Education Research, Vol. (35), 29-44, 2001.
- Parke, B. Gifted Students in Regular Classrooms. Boston MA: Allyn and Beacom.
- Ruget, Vanessa. 2006. The renewal of civic education in

## The Study Aimed at Developing the Teaching Competences of Social Education Teachers through a Training Programme in Al-Karak Al-Mazar Education Directorate

Majed Al-So'ub\*

## **ABSTRACT**

The sample of the study included all social education teachers in the basic stage of Al-Karak directorate as well as all social studies teachers in the southern Al-Mazar directorate which amounted to (193) male and female teachers.

The sample the study was chosen according to the simple stratified random method of and amounted to (50) teachers.

The researchers constructed two instruments for this study. The first one was a classroom chicklist which included (40) competencies for teaching social education. The purpose of this instrument was to check the level of teachers performance to such competencies. Each teacher was offered a copy of the training program in order to be self—trained.

The second instrument was observation which was made to notify the degree of achieving the trained competencies.

The present study concluded the following findings:

- 1- There is no statically significant difference of social education practice of social education competencies that are attributed to experience, qualification and specialization of the teacher.
- 2- There is statistically significant differences that are attributed to gender and these differences were in favor of female social studies teachers.

The study recommended that competencies should be included in the evaluation forms of social education supervisors in the (MOE) under the condition that they should be considered during supervision visits in civic education classes.

Keywords: Social education, social studies, citizenship, Jordan, education, competences, teachers.

<sup>\*</sup> Mu'ta University, Al-Karak, Jordan. Received on 27/5/2007 and Accepted for Publication on 8/1/2008.